

Another Side of the Problem of Religion and Morality: From Dependence to Tension

*Seyyed Mohsen Eslami**

DOI: 10.22096/rc.2026.2084951.1297

Received Date: 08/02/2026 - Accepted Date: 17/05/2026

Abstract

The problem of religion and morality is usually understood in terms of the dependence claim. Some defend the thought that morality is dependent on religion. Others defend the independence of morality. Here, I explain how formulating the problem of religion and morality as a debate over dependence/independence comes with two limitations. First, it puts too varied positions in one group. Second, it allows some important issues to remain underdiscussed. However, we can consider the problem as a debate over tension/compatibility. Those who defend the independence of morality may still either find morality compatible with religion or claim some basic tension between them. To illustrate and to give some examples, some arguments are briefly reviewed: the no moral knowledge argument, the no sacrifice argument, the no openness and progress argument, and the no caution argument. In each case, I mention why the arguments would not easily proceed. Meanwhile, these arguments and similar ones together are to suggest that the tension claim between religion and morality is not a trivial position and requires due attention.

Keywords: Religion and morality; moral normativity; moral progress; the problem of evil; skeptical theism.

* Assistant Professor, Department of Philosophy, Faculty of Humanities, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.
Email: s.mohseneslami@gmail.com



Bibliography

- Abla Hassan. *The Qur'anic Dilemma: A Hermeneutical Investigation of al-Khidr*. New York: Routledge, 2023.
- Adams, Robert M. *Finite and Infinite Goods: A Framework for Ethics*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1999.
- Bergmann, Michael. "Skeptical Theism and Rowe's New Evidential Argument from Evil." *Noûs*, no. 35 (2001): 278-296.
- Danesh, Javad. *Din va Akhlaq: Barresiy-e Gunehay-e Vabastegiy-e Akhlaq be Din*. Qom: Research Institute for Islamic Culture and Thought, 2013. [In Persian]
- Eslami, Sayyed Hassan. "Environmental Ethics: Backgrounds, Views and Future Prospects", *Revelatory Ethics* 1, no. 4 (2013): 7-35. [In Persian]
- Eslami, Seyyed Mohsen. "Theodicy as Axiology and More." In, *Value, Morality & Social Reality*, edited by Andrés Garcia, Mattias Gunnemyr & Jakob Werkmäster, 129-143. Lund: Department of Philosophy, Lund University, 2023.
- Faghihi Fazel, Morteza and Yousef Daneshvar Nilo. "A Study of the Relationship between Religion and Morality from Sam Harris's Perspective", *Philosophy of Religion Research* 21, no. 1 (2023): 63-84. [In Persian]
- Fanaei, Abolghasem. *Din dar Tarazuy-e Akhlaq: Pajooheshi Darbab-e Nesbat-e Miyan-e Akhlaq-e Dini va Akhlaq-e Sekular*. Tehran: Seraat, 2005. [In Persian]
- Heathwood, Chris. "Could Morality Have a Source?." *Journal of Ethics and Social Philosophy*, vol. 6, no. 2 (2012).
- Huemer, Michael. "Groundless Morals." In Craig and Wielenberg, *A Debate on God and Morality*. 149-165.
- Jamieson, Dale. "Morality's Progress." In *Morality's Progress*, 1-26. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002.
- Jeffrey, Anne. *God and Morality*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- Jordan, Jeff. "Does Skeptical Theism Lead to Moral Skepticism?." *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 72, no. 2 (2006): 403-417.

- Kant, Immanuel. *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*. Translated into Farsi by Hamid Enayat and Ali Gheysari. Tehran: Kharazmi, 2015. [In Persian]
- Lane Craig, William, and Erik J. Wielenberg, *A Debate on God and Morality: What is the Best Account of Objective Moral Values and Duties?*. New York: Routledge, 2021.
- Maitzen, Stephen. "Skeptical Theism and God's Commands." *Sophia*, no. 46 (2007): 235–241.
- Nagasawa, Yujin. *The Problem of Evil for Atheists*. New York: Oxford University Press: 2024.
- Overvold, Mark Carl. "Self-Interest and the Concept of Self-Sacrifice." *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, no. 10 (1980): 105–118.
- Perrine, Timothy. "The Epistemology of Skeptical Theism, Excessive Skepticism, and Hendricks' Epistemic Gambit." *Acta Analytica* (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12136-025-00662-2>
- Prichard, H. A. "Does Moral Philosophy Rest on a Mistake?." *Mind*, no. 81 (1912): 21-37.
- Russell, Bruce. "The Problem of Evil: Excessive Unnecessary Suffering." In *Ethics and the Problem of Evil*, edited by James P. Sterba, 90-107. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2017.
- Saemi, Amir. *Morality and Revelation in Islamic Thought and Beyond: A New Problem of Evil*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2024.
- Shakerin, Hamidreza. "Contradiction Theory of Morality and Religion, A Critical Study", *Qabasat* 27, no. 106 (2023): 25-45. [In Persian]
- Sharaf, Raham and Sahar Kavandi. "Critical Analysis of Religion and Morality: An Investigation into Types of Morality's Dependence on Religion", *Critical Studies in Texts & Programs of Human Sciences* 17, no. 5 (2017): 123-143. [In Persian]
- Street, Sharon. "If Everything Happens for a Reason, Then We Don't Know What Reasons Are: Why the Price of Theism is Normative Skepticism." In *Challenges to Moral and Religious Belief*, edited by Michael Bergmann and Patrick Kain, 172-192. New York: Oxford University Press, 2014.

Van Ackeren, Marcel, and Alfred Archer. "Self-Sacrifice and Moral Philosophy." *International Journal of Philosophical Studies* 26, no. 3 (2018): 301-307.

Wielenberg, Erik J. *Value and Virtue in a Godless Universe*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005.

Zuckerman, Phil. "Atheism and Societal Health." In *The Oxford Handbook of Atheism*, edited by Stephen Bullivant and Michael Ruse, 497–510. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013.